

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,599 12/17/2003		Yumi Shibata	117961 5840		
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 01/24/2001 RIDGE, PLC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1992	28	LONG, ANDREA NATAE			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/24/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary    Examiner			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Andrea N. Long 2176  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER; FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stationry period will apply and will expend to the communication of the station of the station of the station of the period of the period period for reply is specified above, the maximum stationry period will apply and will expend SIX (8) MONTHS from the remaining date of this communication.  Failure to period which has not or administration.  Failure to period within the original period period for reply flast, every seduce any seators are adjustment.  Station in the specification is provided to the communication of the communication of the communication.  Station is period to communication (s) filed on 17 December 2003.  Zeal This action is FINAL.  Zeal This action is provided to the communication.  Application of Claims  Application of Claims  Application of Claims  Application of Claims  Application is justice to be set to the provided to the communication.  Application Papers  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  Application Papers  Application is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  Ap	Office Action Summary		10/736,59	9	SHIBATA ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 3 TCPR, 13(6), in no event, however, may analy be timely liked after 3 K(s) (AVCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 3 TCPR, 13(6), in no event, however, may a may be timely liked after 10 the mailing date of this communication.  **Fallius to eight with the stor extended period for reply (this) builden.  **Fallius to eight with the stor extended period for reply (this) builden.  **Fallius to eight with the stor extended period for reply (this) builden.  **Fallius to eight with the stor extended period for reply (this) builden.  **Fallius to eight with the store calculation of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamer present time adjustment.  **Fallius to eight time adjustment.  **			Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT 1.35(a). The event, however, may a reply be timely filled.  If No period to reply it specified above, the maximum statisticity profest wings pay and will expire 1xx (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for pay has publicated to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by adultion, cause the application to become ARANDONED, 35 U.S. 2, 13.5). Any reply received by the Office between the two maining date of this communication, even if linely filled, may reduce any acceptance term allogatiment. See 37 CPT 1.74(b).  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2c) This action is filled the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 Claim(s) 1.15 is/are allowed.  5 Claim(s) 1.15 is/are allowed.  6 Claim(s) 1.15 is/are rejected.  7 Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The provided the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 Application Papers  11 See of References Cited (PTO-882)  22 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1 Certified			1		-				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estamismos time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(b). In ne event, however, may a regly be timely filed after 30 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 FIG. period for myth is again cannot all of this communication, and will apply and will explie SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 FIG. period for myth is again cannot all the communication of the property of			nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	;			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2003.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Parlsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Notice of Indraperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Notice of Indraperson's Patent Application	WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN nations of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum some to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve munication. statutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be timed to the service SIX (6) MONTHS from the service of the servic	<b>시.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○  None of:	Status								
3	1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>17 December 20</u>	<u> 203</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is no	on-final.					
Al)	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Tarfisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on / *December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the	application.						
Claim(s)   1-15 is/are rejected.   7)									
7)	5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ .  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election re	equirement.	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicat	ion Papers				•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠	,							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ─ Some * c) ─ None of:  1. ─ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ─ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ─ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ➢ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)		•							
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
a) \[ All \] b) \[ Some * c) \[ None of: \]  1. \[ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. \]  2. \[ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \]  3. \[ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) \[ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	,								
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	a)	, ,-							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  * Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application		<del></del>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * June 1									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* 5	• •	·		ed.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				•	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachmen	t(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)				•			
3) Minormation Disclosure Statement(s) (i 1979-200)									
			•	· =	• •				

Art Unit: 2176

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-15 have been examined in response to application filed 12/17/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Obradovich et al (PG Pub US 2002/0013815 A1), hereinafter "Obradovich".

As to independent claim 1, Obradovich discloses an information display system (mobile device), comprising:

a display for displaying a facility information screen (page 1 paragraph [0009], page 2 [0039], Figure 9);

an information extractor for extracting position identification information from said facility information screen (page 2 paragraph [0037]);

a search unit for searching for a facility corresponding to said position identification information (page 2 paragraph [0037]);

Art Unit: 2176

a facility information registration unit for registering facility information including the position of the searched facility (page 2 paragraph [0039], page 3 paragraph [0040]); and

a facility information storage unit for storing the registered facility information (page 2 paragraph [0037], page 3 paragraph [0040]).

As for dependent claim 2, Obradovich teaches an information terminal including said display and a transmitter/receiver unit (page 3 paragraph [0040];

and a server including said information extractor, said search unit, said facility information registration unit, said facility information storage unit, and a transmitter/receiver unit (page 2 paragraphs [0037] [0039]).

As for dependent claim 3, Obradovich teaches an information terminal including said display, said information extractor, said search unit, said facility information registration unit, and said facility information storage unit (page 2 paragraphs [0037] [0039], page 3 paragraph [0040]).

As for dependent claim 4, Obradovich teaches wherein said position identification information includes at least one of a telephone number and an address (page 3 paragraph [0040]), and said facility information registration unit verifies the consistency between the at least one of the telephone number and the address and

Art Unit: 2176

sets the position of the facility depending on the result of the verification of the consistency (page 3 paragraphs [0040] [0041]).

As for dependent claim 5, Obradovich teaches wherein the facility information includes a schedule in terms of a scheduled date/time (page 4 paragraph [0049], calendar).

As for dependent claim 9, Obradovich teaches wherein said position identification information includes at least one of a telephone number and an address (page 3 paragraph [0040]), and said facility information registration unit verifies the consistency between the at least one of the telephone number and the address and sets the position of the facility depending on the result of the verification of the consistency (page 3 paragraphs [0040] [0041]).

As for dependent claim 10, Obradovich teaches wherein said position identification information includes at least one of a telephone number and an address (page 3 paragraph [0040]), and said facility information registration unit verifies the consistency between the at least one of the telephone number and the address and sets the position of the facility depending on the result of the verification of the consistency (page 3 paragraphs [0040] [0041]).

Art Unit: 2176

As for dependent claim 11, Obradovich teaches wherein the facility information includes a schedule in terms of a scheduled date/time (page 4 paragraph [0049], calendar).

As for dependent claim 12, Obradovich teaches wherein the facility information includes a schedule in terms of a scheduled date/time (page 4 paragraph [0049], calendar).

As for dependent claim 13, Obradovich teaches wherein the facility information includes a schedule in terms of a scheduled date/time (page 4 paragraph [0049], calendar).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-8, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obradovich et al (PG Pub US 2002/0013815 A1) in view of Tognazzini (US Patent 5790974), hereinafter "Tognazzini".

As for dependent claim 6, Obradovich teaches a schedule (calendar).

However Obradovich does not teach giving an advance notification. Tognazzini teaches wherein a notification of the facility information is given in advance (column 1 lines 58-62, column 7 lines 3-9).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the calendar of Obradovich with the notification system of Tognazzini to inform the user of varying conditions affection a user's schedule (column 1 lines 50-53).

As for dependent claim 7, Obradovich teaches a schedule. However

Obradovich does not teach deleting a schedule when it expires. Tognazzini teaches
wherein the schedule is deleted when the scheduled date/time expires (column 9 lines 9-21).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the calendar of Obradovich with the deleting of Tognazzini to allow a user to delete conflicting entries due to varying conditions which can affect a user's schedule (column 1 lines 50-53).

As for dependent claim 8, Obradovich teaches a schedule. However Obradovich does not teach updating a schedule. Tognazzini teaches wherein the schedule is updated when the scheduled date/time expires (column 1 lines 54-57).

Art Unit: 2176

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the calendar of Obradovich with the updating of Tognazzini to allow a user to update conflicting entries due to varying conditions which can affect a user's schedule (column 1 lines 50-53).

As for dependent claim 14, Obradovich teaches a schedule. However

Obradovich does not teach deleting a schedule when it expires. Tognazzini teaches
wherein the schedule is deleted when the scheduled date/time expires (column 9 lines 9-21).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the calendar of Obradovich with the deleting of Tognazzini to allow a user to delete conflicting entries due to varying conditions which can affect a user's schedule (column 1 lines 50-53).

As for dependent claim 15, Obradovich teaches a schedule. However Obradovich does not teach updating a schedule. Tognazzini teaches wherein the schedule is updated when the scheduled date/time expires (column 1 lines 54-57).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the calendar of Obradovich with the updating of Tognazzini to allow a user to update conflicting entries due to varying conditions which can affect a user's schedule (column 1 lines 50-53).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,599 Page 8

Art Unit: 2176

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record on Form PTO 892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea N. Long whose telephone number is 571-270-1055. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrea Long 01/19/2007

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMNER